EXHIBIT "A"

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF HAWAII UNITED STATES OF AMERICA C.R. NO. 17-DOIOILEK ANTHONY WILLIAMS OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR JUDICIAL DETERMINATION OF THE MENTAL COMPETENCY OF THE ACCUSED Common law counsel Private Attorney General Anthony Williams, who is a servant of the Most High Yahweh Elohim and Yahshua the Mahshyah and submits this Opposition To Motion For Judicial Determination of the Mental Competency of the Accused. In support of this mution the undersigned states the following facts: 1. The Motion For Judicial Determination of the Mental Competency of the Accused was filed for no other purpose but to further delay the trial of the defendant and to keep the undersigned incarcerated longer in order to gain a teactical advantage. 2. Ronald Johnson Copy catted this motion from the State Afterney in Florida who Filed a similar bogus motion, 3. The undersigned was forced into being evaluated in Florida and was explicated by Dr. Michael Brannon who did an extensive report on the competency of the undersigned and determined that the undersigned is not only competent but brilliant. (See Exhibit A - a copy of Dr. Brannon's evaluation) 4. Ronald Johnson Filed that Motion for Judicial

Determination of the Mental Competency of the Accused because he is afraid to face the undersigned attrial because he knows that he will be utterly embarrased and made a fool of so that the Whole world can which his utter stopicity and colossal ignorance and to avoid this from happening he contemplated that if he could have the undersigned deemed incompetent he would not have to face the intellectual superiority of the undersigned and would in lies be faced with opposing an afformey at law who is on the same debased mental retardation level that he is able to have at less some chance at wining at trial.

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing facts and the attached declaration, the Motion for Judicial Determination of the Mental Competency of the Accused Should be denied.

Executed this 25th day of April 2018.

Anthony Milliams
Anthony Williams
Private Attorney General
Common Law Courses for Defendant

DECLARATION OF Anthony Williams I Anthony Williams, hereby certify that the following statements are true, correct and complete to the best of my information, knowledge and belief. Your declarant is a Private Attorney General responsible for defending the cause of the defendant Konald Johnson has no just Fighte cause to believe that the declarant is suffering from a mental disease or defect but is afferpling to prevent the declarant from executing his own defense to avoid having to face the declarant at trial because he feels insufficie inadequate and intellectually incapable of opposing the declarant in a court battle and is territied what ris Colleagues will say when he loses miserably to the your declarant still maintains that the court lacks jurisdiction because there was no crime of mail or wire fraud committed and once jurisdiction is challenged, it must be proven be the party who is asserting they have jurisdiction. Hagans v. Lavine, 415 U.S. 528, 945.ct. 1372, 39 L. Ed. 2d 577 (1974) your declarant is in fact a sovereign American National (8 USC 11016)(21) and not a U.S. Citizen as of 2011 when a Declaration OF Expatriation was notorized and filed and published in the paus paper for 4 weeks. (see Newman Green v. Alfonsofarrain, 490 us 826 (489) your declarant still asserts and he asserted on september 2017 that he is representing the legal nited States is charging which is the all capital letter legal fiction or strawmen that is a seperate entity the declarant which fact has already been established in the public records of the State of Tennesse, Florida Louisiana and Hawaii. The vaders, and has in

Written to CNN, Dateling NBC and 60 minutes and is waiting for their response on whether they will be present record and publicize the trial. Your Declarant did not refuse to come to court on April 3, 2018 but came to court on April 4, 2018 le. Your declarant did File a Motion For Exposition of Spiritual warface that was filed on the be noted that declarant and reterates that WILART'S Enemy Kingdom and these were mathematically proven in that Johnson's names according to for Timothy Rodrigues and "Satan Swine", "Racists Demon and

for Ronald Johnson. These numerical values weren't manipulated and are a true and correct correlation to their pames numerically. The numerical value for The USA according to the ENA Code is in fact lebb as proven by the Chart supplied with the Motion For Exposition Spiritual warfare. The DNA code in fact reveals that the declarants name Anthony Williams has the same Numerical value as "son of man prophet" and "I'm Yahshua Messyah" and yes declarant still holds fast to the fact Hust the whole world will get to witness me with my father yahwen when we are revealed from heaven. Remaile Johnson obviously do not know or read the bible, because if he had read it or known it, he would have known that the tatement made by the declarant is according to the scriptures. Colossians 3: 2-4 states, " Set your minds on things above, not on earthly things. For you died and your life is now hodgen wa When the Messyah, who is your life, appears, THEN YOU ALSO WILL APPEAR WITH HIM IN GLORY." This is why I made the Statement the whole world will witness me with my father when we are revealed from heaven, But Since these things are spiritually discerned righteously so, Ronald Johnson Cannot Comprehend these things because he has satanic sprits incurrented in him, Declarant is in the process of Finishing the book that will be sent out to the world that will expose this mystery Babyton system Ronald Johnson is in possession of 123 pages of this book as it was part of the discovery provided by the government to the declarant, Declarant clid not refuse to come to court on April 10, 2018 but told the officer the pearing was April 12, 2018 which was stated in court by Judge

10. Declarant is not pro se but si juris and has appeared when scheduled to appear. Ronald Unson's action's have proven that he is influenced by demonic spirits and the DNA code confirms this. Declarant does not believe his is the son of God, but knows he is the son of Yahuch, Son of Man Prophet and Yahshua Messyah. Again if Ronald Johnson ever read the bible or believed the bible he would have known those Statements to be free not only for declarant but for all who believe in Yahshua. I John 3:1,10 states, "See what great love the Father hath bestowed upon us, that we should be called sons of Yahweh! The reason the world does not know us is that it did not know him. This is how we know who the Sons of Yahweh are and who the Children of the devilare: anyone who does not do what is right is not yahweh's child, nor is angone who does not love their brother and sister." The Apostle John goes on further to state how you recognize the spirit of lahwen and the spirit of the devil He states in I John 4:2-3, This is how you can recognize the spirit of Yahneh: Every spirit that confesses that Yahshua Mahshyah is come in the Flesh is from Yahueh, but every spirit that does not confess Yahshua is not from Yahueh. This is the spirit of the antichrist, which you have heard is coming and even now is already in the world." It is evident that Rangle Johnson does not believe that Jahshva is come in the Flesh of Anthony Williams and therefore he is of the spirit of the Antichrist or Anti Messyah, This has been a complete point by point rebuttal declaration to the declaration of Rohald Johnson. I declare under penalty of pegury that the foregoing is frue correct and complete to the best of my knowledge and belief. Executed this 25th day of April 2018.

. .



Office (954) 766-8826 Fax (954) 764-3486 11760 W. Sample Road, #103 Coral Springs, Florida 33065

Competency to Proceed Evaluation

Examinee's Name:

Anthony Williams

Case #:

15-14366CF10A

Evaluation Dates:

12-02-15, 12-04-15

Report Date:

12-05-15

Judge:

Andrew L. Siegel

Defense Attorney:

Marissel Descalzo, Esquire

Examiner:

Michael P. Brannon, Psy.D.

Forensic Summary

It is the advisory opinion of this examiner that the examinee is Competent to Proceed.

Observations, Findings, and Opinions on Each Issue Referred

Capacity to appreciate the pending charges or allegations

Mr. Williams was able to state the current charges against him and he was able to provide accurate descriptions for those charges. He also was aware of the major differences between a felony and a misdemeanor and the status of his current charges.

Capacity to appreciate the range and nature of the possible penalties which might be imposed against him

The examinee stated that he could receive "several years in prison" if he were found guilty of his current charges. Conversely, he expressed his belief that he "can't be found guilty" of his charges as he stated, "They are unconstitutional and I will prove that what I was doing was perfectly legal under the constitution."

Capacity to understand the adversarial nature of the legal process

Mr. Williams provided the following definitions for the roles of the key courtroom personnel: defense attorney – "represent his clients," state attorney/prosecutor – "they are against you," judge – "make sure that both parties follow the law," witness – "verify facts of the case," and jury – "deliberate on the evidence." He was aware of the available legal pleas of "guilty," "not guilty," and "no contest." He was aware of his right to legal representation although he indicated that he preferred to represent himself in the current legal matter. He further reported that he "feels more qualified than an attorney because they are limited by what they have learned in law school." He was aware of the confidentiality of his communications with his attorney. He was able to state the basic premise and procedures involved in a criminal trial. Conversely, he expressed his belief

that he could not receive a "fair trial" due to the Court's unwillingness to "follow the constitution and recognize me as a private attorney general."

Capacity to disclose to counsel facts pertinent to the proceedings at issue

Mr. Williams did not display any form of expressive or receptive communication problems. He was able to provide specific information regarding the current allegations against him. He reported that he has been able to understand all of the courtroom proceedings. Correctional officers reported that the examinee has not displayed any communication problems with jail staff or other inmates in his assigned unit. He was able to accurately state the definition of a "plea bargain" although he indicated that he would "never accept a plea bargain again because I was previously talked into taking a plea on a case when I was innocent and did not know the constitution like I do now."

Capacity to manifest appropriate courtroom behavior

Mr. Williams was cooperative and attentive throughout the interview. He did not display any unusual or disruptive behaviors. Correctional officers reported that the examinee has not displayed any major behavioral problems in his jail unit. When asked about the appropriate courtroom behaviors, the examinee stated, "You must be a belligerent claimant and speak up for your constitutional rights at all times." As a result, the examinee was not able to provide reasonable solutions for various hypothetical plea offers.

Capacity to testify relevantly

Mr. Williams was not easily confused by interruptions or irritated by mild confrontation. He was able to recognize several purposeful misstatements regarding his legal case. He was able to elaborate and clarify upon his responses at the request of this examiner. He was aware that he was not required to testify in court and that if he chose to testify that he would be required to tell the truth or face perjury charges.

Specific Matters Referred for Evaluation

The examinee was evaluated pursuant to a court order from the Honorable Andrew L. Siegel for an assessment of his competency to proceed. He was interviewed on the above date at Conte Correctional Facility in Pompano Beach, Florida. He is charged with five counts of Unlicensed Practice of Law and five counts of Fraudulently Simulate Legal Process.

Evaluation Procedures and Purpose of Each

A clinical interview was conducted to assess how the examinee responds to specific inquiries and to determine what, if any, treatment history and/or psychological problems the examinee self-reports. A mental status examination was performed to determine the status of the examinee's mental state at the time of the examination. A competency interview was conducted to address the examinee's capacities in all the areas defined by Dusky v. United States.

Sources of Information Used and Factual Basis for Findings and Opinions

Sources: A review of the Affidavit to Arrest, Warrant to Arrest, and Affidavit in Support of Search Warrant was conducted to determine the nature of the examinee's charges for the purposes of the competency examination. Correctional officers were interviewed for information regarding the examinee's daily behavior in his assigned jail unit. Several videos of the examinee on You Tube were also reviewed by this examiner at the request of the examinee. Several telephone conversations between the examinee and his mother and other family members were reviewed for additional clinical information. The Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory – Second Edition (MMPI-2) was administered in order to assess for the presence of a mental disorder and to assess for possible Malingering.

Relevant History: The examinee is a 44-year-old (D.O.B. – 08/09/71), divorced, black male who was born in Pineville, Louisiana. He reported that he was raised by his mother and father. He reported that he was raised with two brothers and three sisters. He reported that he was never physically or sexually abused during his formative years. He reported that he never observed domestic violence in his childhood home. He described his childhood as "perfect." He reported that he was not aware of any family history of mental illness or drug/alcohol abuse.

The examinee reported that he has been married and divorced on two occasions. He reported that his first marriage lasted for 15 years and his second marriage lasted for 4 years. He did not report domestic violence in either of his marriages. He reported that he is the father of four children. He reported that he was living in various locations throughout the United States at the time of his arrest. He reported that he has never been homeless.

Academically, the examinee reported that he completed high school and two years of a three-year online paralegal studies program. He reported that he earned above average grades in school. He reported that he was never retained in a grade. He did not report any major behavioral problems in school. The examinee did not report any problems with inattentiveness or hyperactivity in school. He reported that he was never the victim or perpetrator of bullying or excessive teasing while in school.

Occupationally, the examinee reported that he has been a "private attorney general" for the past 13 years. When asked to explain the above occupation he stated, "I don't practice law, I perfect the law." The examinee reported that prior to his current job, he sold life insurance for a number of years. He reported that he was never fired from a job. He reported that he has never received Social Security Disability benefits. He reported that he served in the United States Army for four years and received an honorable discharge with a rank of E3 Private, First Class. He reported that he was never the recipient of disciplinary action while serving in the Army.

Legally, the examinee reported that he was never arrested as a juvenile. He reported that he was never a member of a delinquent youth group, cult, or militia group. He reported that as an adult he has been arrested for Driving with a Suspended License, Aggravated Rape, Child Molestation, and Fraudulent Check. He reported that he has been sentenced to prison on one occasion.

Socially, the examinee reported that he has "hundreds of friends around the world." He reported that many of his friends have legal problems. He reported that none of his friends use drugs or alcohol. Recreationally, he reported that he enjoys writing music and poetry as well as playing basketball.

In regard to his mental health history, the examinee reported that he has only received psychiatric or psychological treatment services "in retaliation" for his behavior within the legal system. He reported that on one occasion he was sent for a counseling session when he confronted a corrections officer about his legal rights as an inmate. He reported that the second occasion was the current interview which he believed was illegally arranged after he challenged the judge's understanding of the 6th Amendment. He reported that the above occasions were his only contacts with a mental health professional and that he has never been psychiatrically hospitalized, received outpatient counseling, or been prescribed psychotropic medication in the community. He reported that he has never attempted suicide. He reported that he has never experienced severe mood fluctuations, depression, anxiety, or mania. He did not report hallucinations or delusions. The examinee did not report anger management problems. He reported that he was exposed to a traumatic event at four years of age although he added that the incident no longer causes him stress.

The examinee reported that he has never abused alcohol, illicit substances, or prescription medications. He reported that he has never engaged in problematic gambling behaviors.

Medical health was reported as unremarkable as he did not report any illnesses or injuries, including the occurrence of head trauma.

Mental Status Examination: The examinee was appropriately groomed and attired in the standard jail uniform. He was of average height and weight. He was able to state his name, date, and location. His general clinical presentation was cooperative and his demeanor was pleasant. He brought several legal documents in a file to the interview which he occasionally read from during the course of the assessment. He walked at a normal pace with no apparent impairments. Memory functions were intact on brief measures of those cognitive skills. Functional intelligence was grossly estimated in the High Average range based upon his use of vocabulary and general fund of knowledge. Responses to examiner inquiries were appropriate in volume, rapid in pacing, and somewhat excessive in word production. He expressed his emotions in a full range and his mood was congruent with the content of the interview. Attention span was within normal limits as he was not easily distracted by extraneous stimuli. He did not appear to be attending to imaginary internal stimuli. He did not verbalize any statements that were consistent with the presence of an active delusional belief system. The examinee did not report suicidal or homicidal ideations or plans.

Psychological Testing: The Minnesota Multiphasic Personality Inventory – Second Edition (MMPI-2) is the most frequently administered personality test in clinical and forensic settings. It has several Validity Scales to assess for response bias and numerous Clinical Scales to assess for the possible presence of a major mental disorder or substance abuse disorder. Validity Scales revealed a significant elevation on a test scale designed to assess for overly-virtuous responding. Individuals who score in a similar manner are often attempting to appear unrealistically moral and free of even minor problems areas. Clinical Scales revealed a significant elevation on a test scale designed to assess for paranoia. Individuals who score in a similar manner often report

suspiciousness, irritability, and defensiveness. In addition, they often misinterpret benign statements and actions by others as threatening or intrusive.

Conclusions: It is the advisory opinion of this examiner that the examinee is Competent to Proceed. The examinee was able to demonstrate an adequate factual knowledge and rational appreciation of the required legal criteria. Psychological testing revealed an attempt at overly-virtuous responding and symptoms of paranoia. Based upon the multiple sources of information available at the time of this assessment, it is the opinion of this examiner that the examinee does not suffer from a major mental disorder, although he appears to meet the diagnostic criteria for Paranoid Personality Disorder. It should be noted that the examinee is likely to continue to have difficulty working with any attorney assigned to his legal case and become argumentative during court appearances. However, it is the opinion of this examiner that the examinee's difficulties in the legal system are volitional and based upon the factors discussed above and not due to the presence of a major mental disorder.

Thank you for the opportunity to participate in this interesting case and please feel free to telephone me if the Court requires further assistance in this matter.

Michael P. Brannon, Psy.D.

Licensed Psychologist

PY0004289